62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

- Speaker Lyons: "Good afternoon, Land of Lincoln and Happy Memorial Day 2007. The House will come to order. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and pagers and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. Guests in the gallery are also asked to rise with us. We'll be led today in the prayer by Lee Crawford, the pastor of the Cathedral of Praise Christian Center in Springfield. Lee Crawford."
- Pastor Crawford: "May we pray. Most gracious and sovereign God, kind Father, we come humbly before You. Father, we're mindful of Your... Your servant, David, Your King. For when Saul and his Son Jonathan lost their lives in battle, it was then that he asked that there be a memorial for them and for their service. So, Father, this day that we ask... for remember the men and women who have given their lives for this country. We pray that their families would be strengthened, as well as every Member here. We pray that You would guide these men and women today with Your great wisdom as they make decisions that affect all. I pray that You will smile upon this Body today, that You will bless this Body, that You will sustain this Body. This we ask in Your Son's name. Amen."
- Speaker Lyons: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Carolyn Krause."
- Krause: et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United
 States of America and to the republic for which it stands,
 one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice
 for all."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Speaker Lyons: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader, Barbara Flynn Currie."

Currie: "Thank You, Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representatives Graham, Patterson and Soto are excused today."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Roger Eddy."

Eddy: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Joe Dunn is excused today."

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this, there's 114 Members present. We have a quorum and prepared to do the work of the State of Illinois. Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll be starting on Senate-Bills Third Reading on page 5 of the Calendar. And the first Member to call a Bill is Representative Harris, you have Senate Bill 108. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 108, a Bill for an Act in relation to children. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill adds minors who have been provided crisis intervention service and who have been locked out of their homes a... by their families to be defined as abused and neglected. Each year approximately five hundred (500) minors are locked out of their homes, and this follows a pilot program in 2003 where with intervention of DCFS over 85 percent of the young people were able to be reunited with their families and go back into their homes. I ask for favorable consideration of this Bill."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Speaker Lyons: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we have an electronic issue we have to deal with for a moment, so bear with us one moment. I think we're ready to get back to the work of Senate Bill 108. Representative Rose, did you have your light on? Representative Eddy. The Chair recognizes Representative Roger Eddy, the Gentleman from Crawford."

Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."

Eddy: "Representative, could... could you just quickly repeat again what the purpose of this is for those who just walked in and also after that technical difficulty so people get focused again. Could you tell us again what this Bill does?"

Harris: "Yes, a... Representative. This Bill adds minors who have been provided crisis intervention services and who have been locked out of their homes to the definition of abused and neglected minors. This follows a pilot project in the year 2003 where... when these children who were refused reentry into their homes were a... reunited with their families by DCFS, 85 percent of those young people were eventually taken back into their homes. The other 15 percent then were able to be sheltered in more appropriate environments than jails or mental institutions."

Eddy: "So the pilot program was a... obviously successful?"

Harris: "Yes."

Eddy: "Where's the Department of Children and Family Services regarding this? Have they weighed in on the issue?"

Harris: "They've a... taken no position."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Eddy: "Okay."

Harris: "It is subject to appropriation, Representative."

Eddy: "It is subject to appropriation?"

Harris: "It is subject to appropriation."

Eddy: "Is there any estimate to what the appropriation would be necessary in order to a... allow the pilot to be expanded?"

Harris: "I don't believe they have given me an estimate."

Eddy: "Okay. Well, it is subject to appropriation. Obviously it's something that a... helps those minors, especially those who are out of their homes. Appreciate you bringing the Bill to the Body. It'd be nice to know, if there isn't an estimate, what the cost would be if we appropriate for this so that as we set priorities, we could have an idea of what the cost might be."

Harris: "I will get that for you, Representative, and because of only 15 percent of the five hundred (500), which would be sixty-five (65) youth would be involved, the cost would be modest."

Eddy: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognize (sic-recognizes) the Lady from Cook, Representative Rosemary Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."

Mulligan: "Representative, I'm quickly going over the analysis and a... Is DCFS not taking custody? They're leaving it up to local officials for at least a period of time. Is that..."

Harris: "If a... they have been provided crisis intervention service, it could initially be by local individuals. Then

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

they would become defined as... abused and neglect, if they were subsequently locked out of the home by their parents."

Mulligan: "All right. So if local agencies take in this child, but there's no money to go with it, then it creates an undue burden on a local agency, particularly if DCFS... It's not a permanent placement and the object is reunification as opposed to a permanent placement. So then how would they recoup their um... the money spent on sheltering that young person?"

Harris: "This Bill is supported by the Illinois Collaboration on Youth, the Child Care Association of Illinois and the Illinois Hospital Association, who believe they have the resources in their current system for this a... brief period of assistance, Representative."

Mulligan: "I have you talking to me and somebody else talking to me. Okay. So some of these agencies are the designated agencies currently receive funding for crisis intervention, but if this is paving the way to make it easier to get in there, then probably in order for it to be effective, it needs some additional funding."

Harris: "I did say, yes, it's subject to appropriation, but the estimate is we're talking about five hundred (500) youth maximum per year, of which a... 85 percent would be returned and reunited with their families."

Mulligan: "Within thirty (30) days?"

Harris: "I'm sorry?"

Mulligan: "Within thirty (30) days or ...? How soon do you think they'd be reunite... I'm just trying to figure out how much money and would the ... would the money come from DCFS?"

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Harris: "Yes."

Mulligan: "All right. So, the proper appropriation would be money coming out of the DCFS budget if they were to actually take advantage of the new law that you're creating?"

Harris: "If the children, young people, could not be reun...
reunited with their parents, yes."

Mulligan: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from De Kalb, Representative Bob Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."

Pritchard: "Representative, how many youth do you think fall into this category?"

Harris: "The estimates a... Representative, are approximately five hundred (500) in a year and based on a pilot project with a similar protocol done in the year 2003, 85 percent of those young people were reunited with their families and moved back into their homes."

Pritchard: "So is the solution counseling, bringing parent and child together, or are there other factors that you're hoping to remediate?"

Harris: "The biggest thing that this program focuses on is a...
reuniting families and it's largely counseling and working
through issues that led the child and the parent to have
come to disagreements."

Pritchard: "Based on the trial program you have, how long does it generally take? How much temporary housing does the

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

State need to provide before there might be some relationship building with the family?"

Harris: "Yeah. Based on the protocol before, it was within 48 hours."

Pritchard: "So it's only two days?"

Harris: "Yes."

Pritchard: "Really? I've... I've had some youth come to me that are in this situation and they have been homeless for several years. So I... I'm interested in the kind of results you're getting with this trial program, but I certainly think that it's something that we ought to take a look at and try to advance. Thank you for sponsoring it."

Harris: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lyons: "No one further seeking recognition, Representative Harris, to close."

Harris: "Thank you. I ask for a favorable… favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Does Senate Bill 108 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed
vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.
Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members
voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received
the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 1132, offered by Representative Hannig, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. First Reading of this Senate Bill."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

- Speaker Lyons: "Page 5 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 19, Representative Reitz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 19, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Dan Reitz."
- Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 19 will set up cord blood bank, will allow for hospitals to sign contracts with cord blood banks to collect blood for stem cell research. Appreciate all of your support on this. I really think this a good way to do that. We have a lot of a... meaningful research that could take place with stem cells, and I think this Bill will allow us to do that without having the moral dilemma that many of us have with this, the current stem cell research. I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Does Senate Bill 19 pass?' All those in favor should signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 112 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 2 Members voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 6 of the Calendar, under Senate Bill-Third Readings, Representative Riley has Senate Bill 115. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 115, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Al Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 115 essentially provides that it is an aggravating factor for a person to commit any crime against a person who they know or should've known was an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States. And this includes National Guard and Reserve service. This Bill was brought basically in response for a murder in 2006 of a member of the Armed Forces that was killed home on leave after serving a term of a... combat in Afghanistan. This Bill passed unanimously in the other Body. And I would encourage an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Rosemary Mulligan."
- Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."
- Mulligan: "Representative, how much of this is left up to the discretion of a Judge?"
- Riley: "Well, I... I would say it's totally left up to the a... the discretion of the Judge. A... This Bill basically lists the aggravating factors that would lead the Judge to make other determinations in terms of sentencing."
- Mulligan: "On the face of it, it seems like a really good Bill.

 The only thing is we've had issues where maybe someone gets into a bar fight and they've been drinking a bottle of beer and then the State's Attorney says there... it's aggravating because they had a lethal weapon. The service man isn't in uniform, and all of a sudden you have a bar fight turn into

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

a long prison sentence. That's why I'd like to make sure that there is somebody there that can make it a worthy judgment of what's aggravating and what isn't. So although I support your Bill, I just like it on the record that I hope there's some discretion in how it would be handled."

Riley: "Well, I think we all trust that a... that there will be and that the judges would execute the discretion that they normally do in cases like this."

Mulligan: "All right. I wouldn't question except, first of all that's my job over here right now, and Representative Black's not here yet. And secondly, I've had an issue in my district where two young men went to jail for a really long time over a similar issue when they shouldn't have. So that's why I brought it up. Thank you."

Riley: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative John Fritchey."

Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."

Fritchey: "Representative, so I understand this, it is simply their status, and I don't say simply in any type obviously of dismissive term, but it is simply the... the status of that individual as a member of the Armed Services as opposed to the Armed Services status being a factor in the crime, correct?"

Riley: "That is correct."

Fritchey: "To the extent that an individual may be an active duty member of the Armed Services, but they're home and as a previous speaker said they get into an altercation with

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

somebody, wherever it may be-in a park, in a restaurant, wherever it may be. If... if you had two situations and one of those individuals, in one case the victim is an Armed Service member; in the other case that person is another honorable profession. Pick whichever one you want. Do you see any concern that the defendant in that case, while a heinous crime in either situation, that we are essentially placing a higher penalty-slash-higher value on the life of the Armed Service person in... in the capacity that's really unrelated to their duty in this case?"

Riley: "Representative, I... I guess I can see how that might be construed a... a... in general. However, I'm sure there have been cases where a person did know that the individual was home on leave. You know... I can see situations where the person doesn't even have to be in uniform, but it is known that a... you know... they're an active duty soldier and... and so therefore, you know, the defendant it... it is not like they did not know. I understand what you're saying about essentially one person's life being a little bit more a... a important than another person's life, but I... I really don't... I really don't think that the spirit of this Bill was to make that distinction."

Fritchey: "Well, I... here... I... I trust and you know I'm not surprised that this Bill came out of Senate Committee unanimously and out of the Senate unanimously, and obviously your intention and everybody else is nothing but solid here. The concern I guess that I have and it's... you know... it... it... it's ironic. It's a sad irony, I guess, that this Bill's being called today. Obviously, that's not your

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

doing, nor do I think it was intentional. But you know we... we started these aggravating factors for crimes way back when with police and firemen, and there was... there was a nexus to it, whether it was a policeman being ambushed or a firefighter. We had firefighters being attacked when they were responding to fires. Then it was expanded to CAPS members, a... sports referees, nurses. We had legislation in here earlier to make it an aggravated factor for cab drivers. And... And I'm not taking away anything from any of these professions, but my concern, Ladies and Gentlemen, and to the Sponsor, the... the exception truly is swallowing up the rule. Why wouldn't we at this time simply say, 'We're going to ratchet up the crime for murder in all cases.' Because I do think that unintentionally we are having the situation now that the life of the cab driver is placed at a greater value than the life of the tow truck driver. Or that the life of the... one occupation is placed over another one. Obviously again if it was related to the crime, if it was an aggravating factor or the cause of the crime, I... I get it. I can't say in all honesty that I'm going to be the first brave sole to vote against this, but, Ladies and Gentlemen, you know we're seeing one or two or three more of these categories being added every year. It's... it's kinda like license plates, and I'm not saying that facetiously. I'm not saying that to make light of this legislation. Though in some point in time, you know we're trying here to revise the Criminal Code and simplify things, and now we are simply ratcheting up penalties for a whole host of professions. A... it... it would be hard pressed

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

- to find a profession more deserving of respect and protection than that of our Armed Forces, and we're serve... well served to remember that today. But never... nevertheless, I really think we need to try to be careful of this in the future. Thank you, everybody."
- Speaker Lyons: "No one seeking further recognition, Representative Riley, to close."
- Riley: "I would request an 'aye' vote on this Bill. I... before coming down here, I was in a Memorial Day parade, and I saw a lot of fellow soldiers a... a some who have served in Airborne, like me. It cost a lot to train these people to defend our country. And again, you know, I would request an 'aye' vote on this Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should Senate Bill 115 pass?'
 All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 109 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 5 Members voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Pritchard has Senate Bill 122. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill... Senate Bill 122, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from De Kalb, Representative Bob Pritchard."
- Pritchard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House... Senate Bill 122 adds school counselors and school counselor interns to the definition of professional workers. And what it's actually

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

doing is giving schools another option in how they service students with special needs. School counselors, school counselor interns are very qualified to add not only their typical form of mental, emotional, social, developmental services and career services, but also special education services, including those with a... a... individuals that are a part of their individual education plan. I would be happy to answer your questions."

- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 122 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Sid Mathias has Senate Bill 137. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 137, a Bill for an Act concerning the internet. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Sid Mathias."
- Mathias: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 137 creates the Anti-phishing Act. This is not the type with a pole, but with the Internet. I'm sure all of you probably today when you opened up your a... Internet and your browsers have received numerous a... emails in which basically a... they're trying to get you to a... to a... give information. You know, in other words, they tell you they're from the company,

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

such as a bank or a... any other type of... for example a... PayPal or those type of organizations, and they're basically using fake websites, but they pose as a... as authentic ones, and then they ask you for information. And when you give it to them, then they have enough information to go into your real account. So this Bill makes a... basically makes this illegal and also sets forth penalties and I ask for your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 137 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mulligan. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Karen May, you have Senate Bill 158. Out of the record. Page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Dunkin. Is Representative Dunkin on the floor? Out of the record. Representative Dugan has Senate Bill 244. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 244, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "Take that Bill out of the record on request of the Sponsor. Representative Phelps, on page 6 of the Calendar you have Senate Bill 290. Out of the record. Representative Art Turner, you have Senate Bill 336. Out

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

of the record. On page 7 of the Calendar, Representative Davis has Senate Bill 368. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 368, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House... excuse me, Senate Bill 368 provides for the electronic filing of corporate documents with the Secretary of State. It makes certain changes to the way foreign limited liability corporations conduct business and establishes procedures for service of process on the foreign limited liability corporations. Allows the Secretary of State to promulgate rules to implement the changes and makes certain other technical changes to the Corporations Act. And again, this is an initiative of the Secretary of State's Office."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion on Senate Bill 368? Seeing none, the question is... The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Rosemary Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."

Mulligan: "Are all the categories here things that the Secretary of State has asked to have clarified or where did they come from?"

Davis, W.: "All the categories?"

Mulligan: "Well, we have multiple categories that you're changing."

Davis, W.: "Okay."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

- Mulligan: "This provides... provides guidelines for electronic filing of documents and reports perm... permits a professional corporation to merge with a domestic limited liability company to render the same or related professional services and there's like eight more."
- Davis, W.: "Okay. And you say are those categories what again?"
- Mulligan: "Are they all categories that the Secretary of State was looking to clarify for filing and that's why you're carrying this Bill or where did... where's the genesis of the Bill?"
- Davis, W.: "Correct. It came from the Secretary of State's Office."
- Mulligan: "Okay. I'm just... I'm quickly trying to go through so we make sure we have everything here. Can you not file electronically now?"
- Davis, W.: "Can you not file timely? I... I..."
- Mulligan: "It says pro... No, provides guidelines for electronic filing of documents. Are they not currently doing that?"
- Davis, W.: "I believe so and if... if I can, it says the impact of various aspects of this proposal will be felt in four significant ways: easing compliance with the statutes, easing administration of the statutes, offering business entit... uniformity in electronic filing, as I'm being told, offering business entities more flexibility, and permitting the department to offer better services, as well as uniformity in filing."
- Mulligan: "And there were no opponents? No one testified in committee?"

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Davis, W.: "I'm sorry, Representative."

Mulligan: "No one testified in committee against this and there were no opponents?"

Davis, W.: "No, it passed out of committee unanimously."

Mulligan: "Okay. Thank you."

Davis, W.: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "No one seeking further recognition, seeing none, the question is, 'Does Senate Bill 368 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Coulson. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, 114 Members are voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, back on page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Phelps has Senate Bill 290. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 290, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from White, Representative Brandon Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 290 is a... Senator David Luechtefeld's Bill. All this does is allows a district hospital to enter a line of credit with a financial institution because of the hardship, and I just ask for... Seventeen hospitals around the state that this affects, and I just ask for an 'aye' vote."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook,
Representative Rosemary Mulligan. You're busy today,
Representative."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."

Mulligan: "Representative, do you know any hospital districts that currently do this?"

Phelps: "A... No, I do not."

Mulligan: "And so what your law would do, it would allow them or authorize them to issue bonds after a property tax referendum?"

Phelps: "They would just work with a local finance institution to ease up on the a... a monetary hardship that some of these a... little hospitals have to incur everyday."

Mulligan: "It's my understanding that you really shouldn't use tax payer dollars for private property. Will this be only public hospitals?"

Phelps: "A... Yes, I do believe this is public hospitals."

Mulligan: "Are you certain?"

Phelps: "A... No, I'm not."

Mulligan: "Well, I think there would be a problem with passing a referendum that would expand a hospital that is owned by a private entity and using taxpayer dollars to do that if they are for profit. He's not answering the question. I have a problem..."

Phelps: "I didn't know that was a question, Representative."

Mulligan: "The question is, and you said you don't know. The question is, is can you..."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Phelps: "This Bill only affects district hospitals. It does not affect public hospitals, which benefit from the borrowing authority of the unit of government already. This is only district hospitals, Representative."

Mulligan: "And so it's... You're passing it for only one hospital in the State?"

Phelps: "Seventeen (17) as I said earlier."

Mulligan: "Seventeen (17)."

Phelps: "Seventeen (17)."

Mulligan: "I don't know a hospital in our area that runs a referendum that would be a tax to expand a hospital. And if the hospital is privately owned, I don't think taxpayer dollars should go towards that. So I'm just curious as to how this Bill came about."

Phelps: "This was given to me by Senator Luechtefeld because this affects a lot of hospitals. Representative Bradley has one, Representative Eddy has one, Representative Reis has one. It's just something that they're trying to ease the burden, that's all."

Mulligan: "So these are all county hospitals?"

Phelps: "Most of them are, yes."

Mulligan: "Well, I think it should be limited to only not-forprofit and certainly not private. And a... from what we have
going on in Cook County hospitals, they've kind of just
dumped health care on the State now and other places. I
just want to make sure that this is a valid way of doing
business for hospitals."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Phelps: "And, Representative, this is not nothing to do with the private. This is township district hospitals and that's only that... does not affect private hospitals."

Mulligan: "Okay. So you have someone that's actually done the analysis on the Bill that's telling you that now?"

Phelps: "And I said that earlier, yes, I mean..."

Mulligan: "No, you said..."

Phelps: "...The Bill does not affect public ho... It's only district hospitals. It's not private at all."

Mulligan: "I wouldn't have gone on further if you said you didn't know, but I... Since you said you didn't know, I thought I should ex... go a little further. All right, thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Brown, Representative Jill Tracy."

Tracy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "He indicates he will."

Tracy: "Representative Phelps?"

Phelps: "Yes."

Tracy: "These hospitals, isn't it true that they serve... that in many of the areas that they serve, they are absolutely the only hospital for say a forty (40), forty-five (45) mile radius in that area?"

Phelps: "Yeah, and some even longer. You're right."

Tracy: "And they are ac... actually not-for-profit hospitals."

Phelps: "Right. They are district hospitals, right."

Tracy: "Right. And... and if these hospitals close, then that area that they have been serving will not be staffed by a hospital?"

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Phelps: "And could close. You're right, Representative."

Tracy: Thank you."

Phelps: "Thank you."

Tracy: "To the Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "To the Bill."

Tracy: "Mr. Speaker, I am very much in favor of this Bill. One of the hospitals is a... one of the hospitals... My 93rd District only has two hospitals, and one of them is served by this hospital. And I think if you look at them, they're all in very impoverished, underserved areas, and these hospitals are very much struggling to remain open, and they are in fact non-profit hospitals that face closing if they are not allowed this type of bonding. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "No one seeking further recognition, Representative Phelps, to close."

Phelps: "Just ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should Senate Bill 290 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Representative Shane Cultra. Representative Cultra... would you like to be recorded? It's not showing up. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Dugan has Senate Bill 244. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 244, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Kankakee, Representative Dugan."

Dugan: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic-Speaker), Members of the Senate Bill 244 addresses the issue that we've talked about before and that is with the CON Health Facilities Planning Board. There has been a lot of work done on this particular piece of legislation and with the last Amendment that we adopted last week does end up with an agreed Bill to address a lot of different concerns of the House Minority Leader, some Members of the Senate, the Hospital Association. And so we're looking to a... a... get an 'aye' vote on this Bill so we can move it to the Senate as ... because the a... sunset deadline is the thirty-first. it's important that we move this forward to continue to work on the Health Facilities Planning Board reform. Ιt also sets up a task force for health planning reform. I'll answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermillion, Representative Bill Black."

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Lyons: "State your inquiry, Mr. Black."

Black: "Yes, would you have the Clerk tell me what Amendments are on the Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Committee Amendment #1 and Floor Amendment #4 have been adopted to the Bill."

Black: "All right. Thank you very much. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "She indicates she will."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Black: "Representative, Committee Amendment #1 became the Bill, correct?"

Dugan: "Correct."

Black: "And Floor Amendment #4 adds to the Bill?"

Dugan: "Correct."

Black: "Okay, I..."

Dugan: "Adds to the Amendment."

Black: "I a... Well, the Amendment becomes the Bill and Floor Amendment #4 then adds to the a... to the Bill."

Dugan: "Yes, correct."

Black: "A... Were you... Are you aware, has any member of the Hospital Association contacted you about Floor Amendment #2 or 4?"

Dugan: "Yes."

Black: "There... Have they expressed a concern? I... I... Let me... I...

I don't want to lead you into a... a canyon and try to set you up. I have a cryptic email from a hospital in my district that says, "Please oppose the CON extension as amended" and I don't know what that means. I mean they... they didn't give me a reason. I assume they're talking about Floor Amendment #4, and I haven't been able to get a hold of the administrator."

Dugan: "A... Representative, I'm going to assume it probably was because there was a lot of phone calls made on House Amendment #2, which is why we continued to work with the Hospital Association, our local hospitals, and some of the other concerns..."

Black: "Okay."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Dugan: "...and that's why House Bill... House Amendment 4 is the one that addresses all the concerns that I'm aware of."

Black: "All right. And that would certainly sound reasonable to me. When did you adopt Floor Amendment #4? If I have a time line, maybe it'll make..."

Dugan: "I think it was a... Friday on the House Floor."

Black: "Okay. Because I think the… the email was Thursday. So as far as you know, with no other Amendment on the Bill other than the Committee Amendment that becomes the Bill, 2 and 3 are not on the Bill and 4 adds to the Bill. Would it be your statement then that as far as you know, this is pretty much an agreed Bill in its current form?"

Dugan: "Correct. Yes."

Black: "Okay. That... that helps me out a great deal,

Representative. I thank you very much."

Dugan: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "She indicates she will."

Franks: "Representative, what... how long does this a... Floor

Amendment #4 extend the a... Health Facilities Planning

Board?"

Dugan: "August of '08, Representative."

Franks: "So we go a little bit more than a year?"

Dugan: "Correct."

Franks: "And what do you hope to accomplish in that year?"

Dugan: "We hope to accomplish with the task force, Representative, with a lot of different people on the task

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

force, a lot of different viewpoints, to address some of the concerns that many have had over the procedures and... and so on and so forth of the Health Facilities Planning Board. So we believe with all the entities that we've talked to that there's... there's a need to do reform, that the need of the CON is... seems to be important, but yet there needs to be some procedure changes, and that's what we believe the task force will do."

Franks: "Haven't we already done task force on the CON... Process for the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Board?"

Dugan: "I... I think that we've done an analysis, not a task force, and the analysis, of course, shows that there does need to be some reforms, and that's now why the task force is being set up."

Franks: "I'm just worried that we... Well, I'm going to go to the Bill. I appreciate it. It seems that we study things to death here in Illinois. And I'm looking at a study that was commissioned by the State of Illinois Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, and it's called An Evaluation of Illinois Certificate-in-(sic-of) Need Program. This came out just a few months ago on February 15, 2007. On Roman numeral page III (3), the recommendations are very succinct. It says that traditional arguments for CON are empirically weak, based the preponderance of hard evidence, recommendation should be to allow the program to sunset. If you go to page 3 of the report, they indicate that the Certificate-in-(sic-of) Need Program is subject to wide criticism, because instead of actually controlling health

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

care costs, they increase health care costs and stifle competition. It is a barrier to new bus... a... buildings and it's seen as an unfair restriction. I don't know why we are keeping a failed program going. People who we've hired to evaluate this Certificate-in-(sic-of) Need Program have recommended that it be put to pasture, that it be put away. And I don't know why we keep artificially putting life into something that should be gone. This is something that stifles competition. It really increases patient cost and it's very bad for the health of our State. I'd ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Elaine Nekritz."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to address some comments made by the previous speaker with regard to the CON Report. And I was just pulling that up. recommendation tham my recollection that in fact CON... the Group recommended that the Certificate-of-Need Lewin Program be extended for three years not so much because a... because they did give the recommendations that the previous speaker suggested, but they also said that the health care industry in general is... is in such a state of flux in the State of Illinois right now that to remove the Certificateof-Need process would throw things up for grab. they felt that it was an important a... imp... And they were very clear during the hearings we had before the Commission on Government and Forecasting Accountability that they felt that the Certificate-of-Need Program did need to be

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

extended for a period of time. So I just wanted to make that clarification. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Lady Barbara Flynn Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I agree that the rule recommendation of the Lewin Report was to continue the Certificate-of-Need process so as to determine whether safety net hospitals, and most importantly safety net services, might be at risk without a planning board with the opportunity to say 'yeah' and 'nah'. force that is created under Senate Bill 244 will make a recommendation to the General Assembly and that general... That recommendation could as easily be scrap the whole process as reform it or reinstate it. So I think the appropriate vote on this legislation is a strong 'yes'. The Lewin people in committee said yes, we ought to extend it for a time so we can examine the question whether to protect safety net services we need something in place. Maybe not what we have today. I think it's clear we could change the kinds of services that are covered and so forth, but those issues are left in this Bill for the task force to make recommendations to us. Yes, no or maybe some other kind of animal. I would encourage your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Dugan to close."

Dugan: "Yes, and I'll just keep it very brief. Leader Currie did talk about this is something so we can look at the system we have. We believe the safety net hospitals will be affected negatively, which will negatively impact our communities. So the task force and this legislation is the way to move forward, put a planning board in place that

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

- does do what it's suppose to do to protect the health care access to the people that we serve. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should Senate Bill 244 pass?'
 All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, 105 Members are voting 'yes', 9 Members are voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 499?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 499 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 1360?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1360 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading, was held there pending the filing of notes.

 Those note requests are still pending."
- Speaker Lyons: "Keep that Bill on Second Reading. What's the status of Senate Bill 15?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 15, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. No Floor Amendments, no Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 1397?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1397, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Committee Amendments, no Floor Amendments, no Motions filed."

Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. What's the status of Senate Bill 599?"

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 599, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. No Floor Amendments, no Motions filed."

Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to begin our Memorial Day Service. So I will ask all Members, all Members, please be seated. Be in your seats, staff please adjourn to the back of the chamber. Please double check that all cell phones and all electronic devices are shut off, turned off. Members in the gallery, we would ask you to do the same."

Service Leader: "Soldiers, post. Colors, forward."

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, read the Resolution."

Clerk Bolin: "House Resolution 510 of the 94th General Assembly.

WHEREAS, Memorial Day was officially proclaimed on May 5, 1868, by General John Logan, national commander of the Grand Army of the Republic, in his General Order No. 11 and was first observed on May 30, 1868, when flowers were placed on the graves of Union and Confederate soldiers at Arlington National Cemetery; and

WHEREAS, Memorial Day was officially declared a national holiday in May of 1966 by President Lyndon B. Johnson as a national day of remembrance for those who have died in our nation's

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

service; a day where every citizen of the United States can actively remember our ancestors, our family members, our loved ones, our neighbors, and our friends who have given the ultimate sacrifice; Memorial Day traditionally has been observed on May 30th of each year, but is currently observed on the last Monday in the month of May; and

- WHEREAS, There are many ways in which citizens of the United States can honor all of the fallen soldiers that have given the ultimate sacrifice for the freedoms offered by this great nation, including visiting cemeteries and placing flags or flowers on the graves of our fallen heroes, visiting memorials, flying the United State's flag at half-staff until noon, flying the POW/MIA Flag at half-staff until noon, participating in the "National Moment of Remembrance" at 3 p.m. to pause and think upon the true meaning of the day, by renewing a pledge to aid the widows, widowers, and orphans of our fallen dead, and to aid the disabled veterans; and
- WHEREAS, The State of Illinois strongly supports all of our men and women of the armed forces, both active and departed, and wants to further honor the sacrifice of all United States men and women who have faithfully served in our armed forces; and
- WHEREAS, It is appropriate that the Illinois General Assembly establish a new Memorial Day tradition honoring our fallen brethren by reading an annual list of all of the names of

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

those American soldiers that have given the ultimate sacrifice; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that beginning in 2006, the Illinois House of Representatives shall adopt the policy, whether by rule or other action, that provides that the House Clerk shall annually, either on Memorial Day or on a reasonable session day scheduled prior to Memorial Day, read the names of all of the soldiers, from each and every branch of the United States armed forces and military reserves, who have been killed in the line of duty during the prior year; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the list is to be obtained by the House Clerk no later than May 15 of every year, and the clerk may enlist the help of the United States Department of Defense or the Illinois Department of Military Affairs so that the most accurate account of fallen soldiers may be honored by the Illinois House of Representatives as well as all of the State of Illinois; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the House Clerk shall always preface the reading of the list of fallen United States soldiers with the following quote from former President Abraham Lincoln as stated in the Gettysburg Address "From these honored dead we take increased devotion to that cause for which they here gave the last full measure of devotion - that we here

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

highly resolve that these dead shall not have died in vain."; and be it further

- RESOLVED, That, if in any year, no soldier in the United States armed forces and military reserves has been killed in the line of duty, then the House Clerk shall then offer a moment of silence and reflection to be taken in order to remember those soldiers that have fallen in the past and is to offer a prayer that our soldiers continue to be protected from potential harm while serving our country; and be it further
- RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution shall be presented to Speaker of the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois, Michael J. Madigan; House of Representatives of the State of Illinois Minority Leader Tom Cross; United States Speaker of the House Dennis Hastert; United States Senator Dick Durbin; United States Senator Barack Obama; every member of the Ninety-Fourth General Assembly of the State of Illinois; and every member of the Illinois Congressional delegation."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes Representative Ron Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amid the pomp and circumstance here today, and this ceremony, we are reminded of the purpose of it all. To every fallen hero, we say with devotion, we will never forget you. I want to thank everyone who has worked hard to make this event so special under the direction of our Clerk Mark Mahoney and his

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Assistant Brad Bolin much has been done. But I would be remiss if I didn't mention one person to whom much responsibility was given, Susan Shankland, God bless you. It was Susan who identified each of our heroes that are identified here today. She knows them. She knows their stories; she knows of their lives and of their deaths. She told me that this was the hardest thing that she does. Susan on a personal basis knows the pain of war. She takes it personal and, Susan, for all the fallen heroes, I simply say, 'Thank you'. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Service Leader: "Memorial, forward."

Speaker Lyons: "Roll Call honors. Representative David Miller."

Miller: "Lance Corporal Philip J. Martini, the village of Lansing. April 8, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Dan Beiser."

Beiser: "Corporal Shawn T. Lasswell, Jr. Alton. April 23, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative JoAnn Osmond."

Osmond: "Sergeant Edward G. Davis, III from Antioch. April 28, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Dan Beiser."

Beiser: "Senior Airman Jason Bechel, Wood River, April 30, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Roger Eddy."

Eddy: "Chief Warrant officer 2nd Class, Christopher B. Donaldson. Effingham, May 5, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Bill Mitchell."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Mitchell, B.: "Specialist Ronald W. Gebur. Delavan, May 13, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Sandy Cole."

Cole: "Captain Shane Mahaffee. Gurnee, May 15, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Don Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Private First Class Caleb A. Lufkin. Knoxville, May 25, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Fred Crespo."

Crespo: "Corporal Ryan J. Cummings. Streamwood, June 3, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Rich Myers."

Myers: "Petty Officer 1st Class Gary T. Rovinski. Roseville,
June 5, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Michael McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Sergeant Sirlou C. Cuaresma. Chicago, June 21, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Franco Coladipietro."

Coladipietro: "Staff Sergeant Mario J. Bievre. Glendale Heights, June 23, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jil Tracy."

Tracy: "Corporal Ryan J. Buckley. Nokomis, June 23, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Sergeant Terry M. Lisk. Spring Grove, June 26, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Pat Verschoore."

Verschoore: "Petty Officer 1st Class Jerry A. Tharp. Aledo, Illinois, July 12, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Joe Dunn."

Dunn: "Sergeant Bradley H. Beste. Naperville, August 4, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jay Hoffman."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Hoffman: "Sergeant Steven P. Mennemeyer. Granite City,
Illinois. August 8, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative John Bradley."

Bradley: "Sergeant Matthew J. Vosbein. West Frankfort, August 29, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Annazette Collins.

Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Staff Sergeant Robert J. Paul. Chicago, September 8, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Bob Rita."

Rita: "Staff Sergeant James D. Ellis. Robbins, October 2, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mike Smith."

Smith: "Specialist Kristofer C. Walker. Creve Coeur, October 2, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Joe Dunn."

Dunn: "Specialist George Obourn, Jr. Naperville, October 3, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative David Reis."

Reis: "Lance Corporal Joshua M. Hines. Olney, October 15, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Linda Chapa LaVia."

Chapa LaVia: "Private Edwardo J. Lopez. Aurora, October 19, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Sandy Pihos."

Pihos: "Sergeant Thomas M. Gilbert. Downers Grove, October 25, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Lou Lang."

Lang: "Sergeant Kraig D. Foyteck. Skokie, October 30, 2006."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Speaker Lyons: "Representative LaShawn Ford."

Ford: "Private First Class Nicholas D. Turcotte. Oak Park,
December 4, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Brent Hassert."

Hassert: "Captain Travis L. Patriquin. Lockport, December 6, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative JoAnn Osmond."

Osmond: "Seaman Jack Valentine. Zion, December 7, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Sandy Cole."

Cole: "Sergeant Jason C. Denfrund. Gurnee, Christmas day,
December 25, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Sid Mathias."

Mathias: "Private First Class William R. Newgard. Arlington Heights, December 29, 2006."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Don Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Senior Airman Daniel B. Miller, Jr. Galesburg, January 7, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Petty Officer 1st Class Jennifer A. Valdivia. Cambridge, January 16, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jim Meyer."

Meyer: "Captain Kevin C. Landeck. Wheaton, February 2, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jay Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Private First Class Ryan C. Garbs. Edwardsville, February 18, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Lisa Hernandez."

Hernandez: "Sergeant Pedro J. Colon. Cicero, February 19, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Dan Burke."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Burke: "Private First Class Daniel Zizumbo. Chicago, February 27, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Paul Froehlich."

Froehlich: "Staff Sergeant Paul M. Latourney. Roselle, March 2, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Shane Cultra."

Cultra: "Lance Corporal Raymond J. Holzhauer. Dwight, March 15, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Linda Chapa LaVia."

Chapa LaVia: "Lance Corporal James D. DeLaTorre. Aurora, April 16, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mike Smith."

Smith: "Private First Class Lucas V. Starcevich. Canton, April 16, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Bob Flider."

Flider: "Private Cole E. Spencer. Gays, April 28, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative John Fritchey."

Fritchey: "Master Sergeant Wilberto Sabalu, Jr. Chicago, May 6, 2007."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative David Leitch."

Leitch: "Lance Corporal Benjamin D. Desilets. Elmwood, May 22, 2007."

Service Leader: "Bugler, sound Taps. Colors, recover."

Speaker Lyons: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we were honored today to have chamber… in our chamber Major General Randle Thomas, the Adjutant General of the Illinois National Guard and member of the Illinois National Guard to join us today. Thank you. Representative Brady."

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

- Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and to all those...

 Representative Stephens and all those involved in the Memorial Service, what a moving tribute that was. Thank you. Also, Mr. Speaker, the Republicans will be caucusing immediately after Session in Room 118. Republican Caucus immediately following Session in Room 118. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "At the same time, the Democrats will be caucusing in Room 114. Democrats caucus in 114. Allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Representative Barbara Flynn Currie moves that the House stand adjourned till the hour of 11:00 on Tuesday, May 29. The House stands adjourned. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House stands adjourned. Have a safe and enjoyable Memorial day evening, everyone."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Rules Report, Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motions were referred, action taken on May 28, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'approved for floor consideration' referred to the order of Second Readings is House Bill 750. Referred to the order of Third Reading is House Bill 946 and House Bill 3424. Amendment #1 to House Bill 946 has been approved for consideration. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1260, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 171, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 383, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 363, Amendment #1 Senate Bill 545, Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 597, Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 607,

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1746. On the Order of Concurrences, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 32 has been approved for consideration. A Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 39, a Motion to Concur with House Bill 12... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 121, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 174, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 182, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 202, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 277, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 328, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 369, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 508, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 570, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 619, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 625, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 652, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 653, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 668, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 816, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 845, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 903, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 913, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1030, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1116, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1289, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1293, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #2

62nd Legislative Day

5/28/2007

to House Bill 1300, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1403, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1462, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1611, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 1641, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1717, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1921, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendments 1, 3 and 4 to House Bill 1947, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3393, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3412, a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3654 and a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3729... Senate Bill... House Bill All have been approved for consideration. House Resolution 474 is referred to the House Committee on Rules, offered by Representative Coulson; also referred to House Committee on Rules is House Joint Resolution 69, offered by Representative McCarthy and House Joint Resolution 70, offered by Representative Ramey. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."